S. Patent and Tradement Office TOL-4138 (04-03) Examiner II	nitiated interview Summary	Paper No. 01052004
	icant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)
		·
of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a seper did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum	ate record of the substance of	the interview since the Interview
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application.	rate record of the substance of	the interview, since the interview
Part III.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part II.		
Prior art documents discussed: THOSE CITED AS PRIOR ART OF RECORD		
Claims discussed: THOSE PENDING IN THE CASE		
Rejection(s) discussed: NONE		
Part I.		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		•
	applicant's representative)	•
⊠ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference		
Date of Interview: <u>5 January 2004</u> Type of Interview:	Time:	
(2) <u>BRIANA O'REGAN</u> .	(4)	
(1) <u>EDMUND H. LEE</u> .	(3)	
All Participants:	Status of Application	II
All Destrict	EDMUND H. LEE	1732
Gunnary	Examiner	Art Unit
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summar	10/001,444	GLASSOCK, JUDITH I.
*	Application No.	Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: DISCUSSED THE CLARIFICATION OF CLAIM LANGUAGE IN ORDER TO PLACE THE INSTANT CASE IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. CHANGES WILL BE MADE BY EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT (SEE ATTACHMENT). CHANGES INCLUDE DELETING "OR 37" (CL 43, LN 1).